

CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT
SHEPHERD NEWMAN

11 FEB -3 PM 4:40

1 Stephen E. Crofton, 007370
2 sec@slwplc.com

3 Karen S. Gaylord, 010621
4 ksg@slwplc.com

5 **Salmon, Lewis & Weldon, P.L.C.**
6 Attorneys at Law

7 2850 East Camelback Road, Suite 200
8 Phoenix, Arizona 85016
9 (602) 801-9060



S1500CV20030119

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff/Judgment Debtor County of La Paz

11 **IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA,**
12 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LA PAZ**

13 COUNTY OF LA PAZ,
14 Plaintiff/Judgment Debtor

15 vs.

16 YAKIMA COMPOST COMPANY
17 Defendants/Judgment Creditor
18 JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, *et al.*
19 Garnishees.

20 Case No. CV2003-0119

21 **ORDER GRANTING LA PAZ**
22 **COUNTY'S MOTION TO QUASH**
23 **WRITS OF GARNISHMENT**

24 This matter came before the Court pursuant to the Objection to Application for Writ of
25 Garnishment and Motion to Quash filed by the County of La Paz (the "County"). Through
26 the Motion, the County seeks to quash garnishments filed by Judgment Creditors, Yakima
27 Compost Company, Inc. and Yakima Company, Inc. (collectively, "Yakima") with respect to
the *Judgment* dated January 25, 2008 by the Court presiding over the above-captioned case.
Based upon the pleadings and other germane matters of record, based on the argument of
counsel at an emergency hearing conducted on February 4, 2011 with respect to the Motion,
and based the decision of the Court stated on the record,

1 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as followed:
2

3 1. The writs of garnishment are quashed as against public policy;
4

5 2. Any banks served with writs of garnishment are directed to immediately restore to
6 County accounts all funds that the banks impounded, swept or otherwise removed or affected
7 in response to the writs; and
8

9 3. The County (through its Supervisors) is advised, case law suggests it should budget and
10 pay the Judgment of Yakima.
11

12 DATED this 3rd day of February, 2011
13



14
15 Hon. Richard Weiss

16 Superior Court Judge
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27